

# AD-A196 943

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-86-K-0639

R&T Code 4133013...2

Technical Report No. 3

Kinetics of Complexation of Lithium Perchlorate with 18-Crown-6 in Propylene Carbonate

by

D.P. Cobranchi, G.R. Phillips, D.E. Johnson, R.M. Barton, D.J. Rose, E.M. Eyring, L.J. Rodriguez, and S. Petrucci

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of Physical Chemistry

University of Utah Department of Chemistry Salt Lake City, UT 84112

June 30, 1988



Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE								
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE								
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS					
Unclassified								
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT						
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release and sale. Distribution unlimited.						
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)						
ONR Technical Report No. 3								
64. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 66 OFFICE SYMBOL		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION						
University of Utah		Office of Naval Research Resident Representative						
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)							
Department of Chemistry University of Utah	University of New Mexico Bandelier Hall West							
Salt Lake City, UT 84112		Albuquerque, NM 87131						
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER						
ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research	(If applicable) ONR	N00014-86	v 0620					
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	ONK		FUNDING NUMBERS					
800 N. Quincy Street		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT			
Arlington, VA 2217		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO			
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)		L		<del></del>				
(U) Kinetics of Complexation of Lithium Perchlorate with 18-crown-6 in Propylene Carbonate								
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)				Į	.J. Rodriguez,			
D.P. Cobranchi, G.R. Phillips, D.E. Johnson, R.M. Barton, D.J. Rose, E.M. Evring, and S. Petrucci								
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO Technical FROM 9/		14. DATE OF REPO	ORT (Year, Month, C		E COUNT			
Technical FROM 9/	8/10 <u>/8/.88</u> ]	1988, Jun	e 30	15	<del></del>			
Prepared for publication in the Journal of Physical Chemistry								
17 COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on revers	e if necessary and	identify by blo	ock number)			
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Lithium ion,	complexation	, crown ether	r, ultraso	nic			
	absorption, o	dry propylen	e carbonate,	aprotic s				
'9 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block of	umber)			(A1)-(1)			
The kinetics of complexation of LiClO $_4^{\cap}$ with the macrocycle 18-crown-6 have been								
studied in propylene carbonate using ultrasonic relaxation techniques. Two								
concentration-independent relaxations are observed and explained in terms of the								
Eigen-Winkler mechanism. The influence of propylene carbonate on the complexation								
of lithium with crowns is compared with the influence of other aprotic solvents.								
or traitiam with crowns is compared with the initiative of other aprovice solvenes.								
		<del></del>						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT  DATE OF THE DESCRIPTION OF A BROWN OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DES		21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified						
228 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE NOIVIOUAL	RPT DT'C USERS		(include Area Code)	22c OFFICE	JOBNYS			

Kinetics of Complexation of Lithium Perchlorate with 18-crown-6 in Propylene Carbonate

Daryl P. Cobranchi, Gregory R. Phillips, David E. Johnson, R. Michael Barton, David J. Rose, and Edward M. Eyring\*

Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Licesio J. Rodriguez and Sergio Petrucci Weber Research Institute Polytechnic University Farmingdale, NY 11735

## **ABSTRACT**

The kinetics of complexation of LiClO<sub>11</sub> with the macrocycle 18-crown-6 have been studied in propylene carbonate using ultrasonic relaxation techniques. Two concentration-independent relaxations are observed and explained in terms of the Eigen-Winkler mechanism. The influence of propylene carbonate on the complexation of lithium with crowns is compared with the influence of other aprotic solvents.



	-4 R			
Acces	sion For			
NTIS	GRA&I	i <b>br</b>		
DTIC	TAB	1		
Unannounced				
Justi	fication_			
<del></del>	ibution/	_		
	Avail and	i/or		
Dist	Special	l .		
A-1				

#### INTRODUCTION

Kaplan et al. 1 recently reported that crown ethers added to poly(vinylene carbonate) containing a lithium salt enhance charge transport in this solid electrolyte. Thus possible applications in lithium batteries are a justification for studying the kinetics of decomplexation and complexation of lithium ions by crown ethers in nonaqueous, aprotic media. A more fundamental reason for such a kinetic study is the aim of understanding the relative importance of several competing factors that can all play a significant role in the reaction kinetics of nonaqueous solutions of alkali metal cations and crown ethers. These factors include the Gutmann donor number of the solvent, the flexibility of the macrocyclic ligand, the basicity of the ether oxygens of the macrocycle, and the reorganization of the solvent cage around the cation. Whereas in aqueous solution the kinetics of lithium ion complexation are dominated by the rate limiting loss of a solvent water molecule from the first coordination sphere of the cation, in nonaqueous media the crown ether, cation, associated anion, and solvent form a "complex supramolecular assembly" in which each participant plays a significant role in the reorganization accompanying the cation complexation or decomplexation.

The present kinetic study of lithium ion and 18-crown-6 (18C6) has been carried out in dry propylene carbonate(PC). This is an aprotic, nonassociated solvent with no hydrogen bond donating capacity. At 25°C this solvent has a higher relative permittivity ( $\varepsilon$ =64.4) and higher coefficient

of viscosity (n=2.53 cP) than most other solvents used in lithium batteries.

The choice of the perchlorate anion for these kinetic studies was dictated by the availability<sup>5</sup> of stability constants for lithium perchlorate complexes fromed with 12C4, 15C5, and 18C6 in several solvents.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Instrumentation. Ultrasonic absorption measurements were made using the laser Debye-Sears, resonator, and pulse techniques. The Debye-Sears apparatus differs from a previous description in two major aspects: (1) the stepping motors in the present work were manually controlled and (2) an argon-ion laser previously used was replaced by a 5 mW HeNe laser. The lower power of the HeNe laser did not reduce the accessible (3 MHz to 240 MHz) frequency range. The Debye-Sears apparatus was housed in a glove box of local construction to exclude water vapor that was purged continuously with dry nitrogen gas. The resonator and pulse apparatus have been previously described. Data from these three ultrasonic techniques were combined and analyzed using a Levenburg-Marquardt nonlinear least squares algorithm.

Reagents. Propylene carbonate (Aldrich) was stored over activated (baked under vacuum) 3A molecular sieves for at least one week, and then distilled under reduced pressure. Anhydrous lithium perchlorate (G. F. Smith Chemicals) was heated under vacuum at 170°C until there was no further

decrease in pressure. 18-crown-6 (Parish) was recrystallized from acetonitrile and dried at room temperature in vacuo. All chemicals were stored in a Vacuum Atmospheres glove box until just prior to use. Solutions of LiClO<sub>4</sub> and 18C6 (in a 1:1 molar ratio) were prepared in the glove box and transferred under argon to the ultrasonic apparatus. The water content of all solutions was determined to be less than 20 ppm using the lead tetraacetate method.

### **RESULTS**

A solution of lithium perchlorate in dry propylene carbonate does not produce a detectable excess ultrasonic absorption. However, excess absorption is observed for solutions of LiClO<sub>4</sub> combined with 18-crown-6 in PC. The ultrasonic relaxation spectrum of a PC solution that is 0.125 M in LiClO<sub>4</sub> and 0.125 M in 18-crown-6 at 25°C is shown in Fig. 1. Only one-third of the experimental points are shown for clarity. The solid line in Fig. 1 corresponds to the sum of two relaxation processes, as given by the function:

$$\frac{\alpha}{f^2} = \frac{A_I}{1 + (f/f_I)^2} + \frac{A_{II}}{1 + (f/f_{II})^2} + B \tag{1}$$

where  ${\bf A_I}$  and  ${\bf A_{II}}$  are the amplitudes of the two processes centered at the relaxation frequencies  ${\bf f_I}$  and  ${\bf f_{II}}$ , and B is the value of  $\alpha/{\bf f}^2$ , i.e. the amplitude of the background, at frequencies much greater than  ${\bf f_I}$  and  ${\bf f_{II}}$ .

Values of the relaxation frequencies are reported in Table I. The two relaxation frequencies are independent of concentration, with average values of 1.0  $\pm$  0.1 MHz and 23.1  $\pm$  0.6 MHz. These results can be explained in terms of pseudo first-order processes using the Eigen-Winkler mechanism:  $^{10}$ 

$$M^{+} + C \stackrel{k_{1}}{\stackrel{?}{\leftarrow}} M^{+} \dots C \stackrel{k_{2}}{\stackrel{?}{\leftarrow}} MC^{+} \stackrel{k_{3}}{\stackrel{?}{\leftarrow}} (MC)^{+}$$
 (2)

In this mechanism M<sup>+</sup> is the solvated metal cation, C is the crown ether, M<sup>+</sup>...C denotes the solvent-separated metal-crown ligand pair, MC<sup>+</sup> is a contact pair, and (MC<sup>+</sup>) is the final complex with the metal cation imbedded in the crown cavity. Recent ultrasonic studies <sup>11</sup> have demonstrated consistently that this mechanism works well in describing reactions of metal cations with crown ethers.

Using the value of  $\log_{10}$  K = 2.69  $\pm$  0.11 reported by Smetana and Popov, 5 the general Eigen-Winkler mechanism reduces to

$$M^{+} \dots C \stackrel{k_{2}}{\leftarrow} MC^{+} \stackrel{k_{3}}{\leftarrow} (MC)^{+}$$
 $k_{-2} \qquad k_{-3} \qquad (3)$ 

The reduced mechanism is interpreted according to the relations

$$\tau_{\rm I}^{-1} = 2\pi f_{\rm I} = k_{-2} + k_2 \approx k_2$$
 (4)

for  $k_2/k_{-2} \gg 1$ , and

$$\tau_{II}^{-1} = 2\pi f_{II} = k_{-3}(1 + K_3 \frac{K_2}{1 + K_2}) = k_3$$
 (5)

for  $K_3$  and  $K_2 >> 1$ . Using a weighted mean of the relaxation frequencies in Table I, the calculated rate constants are  $k_2 = 1.45 \pm 0.1 \times 10^8 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and  $k_3 = 6.3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

#### DISCUSSION

In a fairly thorough survey of the literature through 1984, Izatt et al. 12 found only twenty-four kinetic studies of lithium ion complexation by macrocycles. Only one of these studies involved a crown ether, in this case 18-crown-6 in aqueous solution. 13 (The other twenty-three studies involved the much slower formation of lithium cryptates.)

The above described results in PC are consistent with a general picture of lithium ion complexation kinetics in nonaqueous solvents that has emerged from studies made largely since 1984.

In the low permittivity solvent 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( $\varepsilon$  = 7.05 and  $\eta$  = 0.41 cP at 25°C) the solvent molecules, which resemble an acyclic fragment of a crown ether, compete successfully with 18C6 or 12C4 for coordination sites around the Li<sup>+</sup> ion. <sup>14,15</sup> Two well separated ultrasonic absorption maxima are observed (at roughly 90 MHz and 9 MHz) and their concentration dependence permits a calculation of  $k_2$  = 1.5 x 10<sup>8</sup> dm<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>,  $k_{-2}$  = 1.4 x  $10^7 s^{-1}$  and  $k_2$  = 10.7 M<sup>-1</sup> for 18C6 and  $k_2$  = 1.9 x  $10^7$  dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>,  $k_{-2}$  = 5.5 x  $10^6 s^{-1}$  and  $k_2$  = 3.5 M<sup>-1</sup> for 12C4 in the equilibrium

LiS, 
$$x + C \stackrel{k}{+} LiC$$
,  $x + S$  (6)

AND THE PROPERTY OF SECRET OF SESSENDING CONTROL SERVING SERVING DESCRIPTION OF SECRETARIES.

where S denotes 1,2-DME, X denotes  $AsF_6^-$ , and C denotes the crown. <sup>15</sup> These rate constants are essentially the same when  $ClO_{ij}^-$  is substituted for the  $AsF_6^-$  anion. <sup>14</sup> These two anions give rise to greater differences in the ultrasonic absorption spectra when  $Li^+$  reacts with 18C6 in 1,3-dioxolane

( $\varepsilon$  = 7.13 and  $\eta$  = 0.59 cP at 25°C), but the overall picture of what is happening <sup>16,17</sup> is much the same in 1.3-doxolane as in 1.2-DME. <sup>14,15</sup>

LiSCN plus 18C6 in the somewhat higher permittivity solvent dimethylformamide ( $\varepsilon = 36.71$  and  $\eta = 0.80$  cP at 25°C) shows no ultrasonic relaxation not already present when LiSCN alone is dissolved in DMF. 18 However, because  ${\rm ClO}_{\rm H}^{-}$  is a weakly coordinating anion the combination of LiClO, plus 18C6 in DMF does show a single ultrasonic relaxation process indicating an interaction between Li<sup>+</sup> and 18C6 in this solvent. 8 In ethanol ( $\varepsilon$  = 24.3 and  $\eta$  = 1.1 cP at 25°C) the LiClO<sub>H</sub> plus 18C6 combination produces a double relaxation process. 8 These relaxations in DMF and in ethanol are concentration independent indicating that they arise from the second or third equilibrium in the Eigen-Winkler mechanism (3) as would be expected for larger values of the overall complex ion stability constant  $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}$  than are found in 1,2-DME. Since the permittivities of DMF and EtOH are somewhat similar, some other factor or factors must be invoked to explain the difference in the number of relaxation processes observed in these two solvents.

The combination of LiClO $_{4}$  plus 18C6 in methanol ( $\epsilon$  = 32.6 and  $\eta$  = 0.55 cP at 25°C) yields two observable relaxation frequencies at approximately 75 MHz and 7 MHz that both shift with concentration. <sup>19</sup> As in the cases of the same solutes in DMF, EtOH and now PC, the kinetic data may be interpreted in terms of the reduced mechanism (3).

When the lithium ion results are compared 19 with those for NaClO<sub>4</sub> and KClO<sub>4</sub> reacting with 18C6, dicyclohexano-18-crown-6, and dibenzo-18-crown-6 in DMF and MeOH it becomes clear that both steps in mechanism (3) depend on the metal, ligand and solvent with all of them participating in shaping the activation profile of the complexation process. Simplistic attributions of the faster relaxation process to partial cation desolvation and the slower relaxation process to a ligand conformational change are not borne out by the experimental data. Instead a concerted process occurs in which, depending on solutes and solvents, either the removal of solvent or ligand rearrangement may be rate determining.

Alkali metal cation nuclear magnetic resonance kinetic studies<sup>2,20</sup> of the dissociation of crown ether complexes of sodium-ion in nonaqueous solvents can provide additional insights regarding the relative importance of unimolecular and bimolecular contributions to the dissociation kinetics. Graves and Detellier<sup>2</sup> do not find a systematic relationship between Gutmann donor numbers and activation parameters in their rate study of sodium tetraphenylborate with 18C6 in PC, acetonitrile, pyridine and acetone. Thus they also reach the conclusion that "several factors, including conformational rearrangement of the ligand and reorganization of the solvent cage" contribute to the activation profile.<sup>2</sup>

Picosecond laser pulses and fluorescent probes<sup>21,22</sup> (in this case crown ethers) may eventually prove to be more effective tools than either nmr or ultrasonic absorption for unravelling the relative importance of these

various competing influences on the complexation-decomplexation activation profiles for lithium ion and crown ethers in nonaqueous solvents.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Kaplan, M.L.; Rietman, E.A.; Cava, R.J.; Holt, L.K.; Chandross, E.A. Solid State Ionics, 1987, 25, 37-40.
- 2. Graves, H.P.; Detellier, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, in press.
- 3. Delville, A.; Stover, H.D.H.; Detellier, C. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1987, 109, 7293-7301.
- 4. Gabano, J.-P. "Lithium Batteries"; Academic Press: New York, 1983, p. 17.
- 5. Smetana, A.J.; Popov, A.I. <u>J. Soln. Chem.</u> 1980, 9, 183-196.
- 6. Farrow, M.M.; Olsen, S.L.; Purdie, N.; Eyring, E.M. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 1976, 47, 657-661.
- 7. Chen, C.; Wallace, W.; Eyring, E.M.; Petrucci, S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1984, 88, 2541-2547.
- 8. Nash, J.C. <u>J. Inst. Math. Appl.</u> 1977, 9, 231.
- 9. Thompson, C.D.; Bogar, F.D.; Foley, R.T. Anal. Chem. 1970, 42, 1474-1477.
- Eigen, M.; Winkler, R. "Neuroscience, Second Study Program"; Schmit,
   F.O., Ed.; Rockefeller University Press: New York, 1970; pp. 685-96.
- 11. Xu, M.; Inoue, N.; Eyring, E.M.; Petrucci, S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1988, 92, 2781-2789 and references therein.
- 12. Izatt, R.M.; Bradshaw, J.S.; Nielsen, S.A.; Lamb, J.D.; Christensen, J.J.; Sen, D. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 271-339.
- 13. Liesegang, G.W.; Farrow, M.M.; Vazquez, F.A.; Purdie, N.; Eyring, E.M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3240-3243.
- 14. Farber, H.; Petrucci, S. J. Phys. Chem. 1981, 85, 1396-1401.
- 15. Richman, H.; Harada, Y.; Eyring, E.M.; Petrucci, S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1985, 89, 2373-2376.
- 16. Xu, M.; Inoue, N.; Eyring, E.M.; Petrucci, S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1988, 92, 2781-2789.
- 17. Xu, M.; Inoue, N.; Eyring, E.M.; Petrucci, S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1988, 92, 2789-2798.

- 18. Maynard, K.; Irish, D.E.; Eyring, E.M.; Petrucci, S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1984, 88, 729-736.
- 19. Wallace, W.; Chen, C.; Eyring, E.M.; Petrucci, S. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1985, 89, 1357-1366.
- 20. Strasser, B.O.; Hallenga, K.; Popov, A.I. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1985, 107, 789-792.
- 21. Maroncelli, M.; Fleming, G.R. J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 86, 6221-6239.
- 22. Simons, J.D.; Xie, X. <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> 1987, 91, 5538-5540.

Table I. Ultrasonic Relaxation Frequencies for  ${\rm LiClO}_{\mu}$  and 18C6 in Propylene Carbonate at  $25\,^{\circ}{\rm C}$ 

CLICIO4, M	C <sub>18C6</sub> , M	f <sub>I</sub> , MHz <sup>a</sup>	f <sub>II</sub> , MHz <sup>a</sup>	
0.1	0.10	24.27 (2.26)	3.76 (0.16)	
0.125	0.125	25.73 (1.27)	1.43 (0.54)	
0.25	0.25	22.29 (0.82)	1.09 (0.66)	
0.50	0.50	20.68 (1.31)	0.86 (0.16)	
0.75	0.75	23.29 (4.58)	0.98 (0.18)	
1.00	1.00	25.60 (1.87)	1.04 (0.22)	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathbf{a}}$ Estimated standard errors are given in parentheses.

# FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Plot of  $\alpha/f^2$  as a function of ultrasonic frequency for a solution of 0.125 M LiClO $_{\mbox{\sc i}}$  and 0.125 M 18C6 in propylene carbonate at 25°C. The solid line is the sum of two relaxations with relaxation frequencies at 1.4 MHz and 25.7 MHz.

